

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,324	06/30/2003	Steven M. Casey	020366-091600US	4044
20350	7590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			HAROLD, JEFFEREY F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		2644	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/611,324	CASEY ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	-
		Jefferey F Harold	2644	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	••
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestore reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			•	
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	• •	s is
Dispositi	on of Claims		•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examement The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But see the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in a contract of the sent of the s	Application No n received in this National Stage	ı
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/611,324 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement submitted on June 30, 2003, November 6, 2003 and February 25, 2004 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cowan et al. (United States Patent 6,299,526), hereinafter referenced as Cowan in view of "teleco powered products" Mike Sandman http://web.archive.org/web/20030410200336/http://www.sandman.com/telco.html, hereinafter referenced as Sandman.

Regarding claim 1, Cowan discloses a temperature for an enclosure. In addition, Cowan discloses a system for controlling the temperature in a NID that connects a communications channel in a communications network to a subscriber premises, the communications channel carrying communications signals to the subscriber premises, the system comprising: a temperature controlling device, and a power regulating circuit used for powering the temperature controlling device, however, Cowan fails to disclose receiving the communications signals and providing power from

Art Unit: 2644

the communications signals. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to provide receiving the communications signals and providing power from the communications signals, as taught by Sandman.

In a similar field of endeavor Sandman discloses telco powered products. In addition, Sandman discloses a teleco powered fan/cooler that is attached to the telco line to receive power used to charge a battery, which reads on "receiving the communications signals and providing power from the communications signals"

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cowan by specifically providing receiving the communications signals and providing power from the communications signals, as taught by Sandman, for the purpose of providing a reliable energy source.

Regarding **claim 2**, Cowen and Sandman disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), however, Cowan fails to disclose a storage device for storing the power that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to provide a storage device for storing the power that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device, as taught by Sandman.

In addition, Sandman discloses a teleco powered fan/cooler that is attached to the telco line to receive power used to charge a battery, which reads on "a storage device for storing the power that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cowan by specifically providing a storage device for storing the power that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device, as taught by Sandman, for the purpose of providing a reliable energy source.

Regarding claim 3, Cowen and Sandman disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), however, Cowan fails to disclose an auxiliary power source that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device when the power from the communications signals is insufficient for powering the temperature controlling device. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to provide an auxiliary power source that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device when the power from the communications signals is insufficient for powering the temperature controlling device, as taught by Sandman.

In addition, Sandman discloses a teleco powered fan/cooler that is attached to the telco line to receive power used to charge a battery, which reads on "an auxiliary power source that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device when the power from the communications, signals is insufficient for powering the temperature controlling device".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cowan by specifically providing comprising an auxiliary power source that may be used for powering the temperature controlling device when the power from the communications signals is insufficient for powering the

Application/Control Number: 10/611,324 Page 5

Art Unit: 2644

temperature controlling device, as taught by Sandman, for the purpose of providing a reliable energy source.

Regarding **claims 4-33**, they are obvious variation of claims 1-3 and the rejected cited above provides a bases for rejection that is applicable to claims 4-33, thus claims 4-33 are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claims 1-3.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F Harold whose telephone number is 703-306-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh H Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,324

Art Unit: 2644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jefferey F Harold

Page 6

Examiner Art Unit 2644

March 1, 2005